academicresearchJournals

Vol. 15(1), pp. 42-50, August 2026
https://doi.org/10.14662/ijelc2026100

ISSN: 2360-7831
http://www.academicresearchjournals.org/IJELC/Index.htm

International Journal of English Literature and Culture

Review

MONEY AND THE POLITICS OF GREED IN *MONEY: A SUICIDE NOTE* (1984) BY MARTIN AMIS

Alidou Razakou IBOURAHIMA BORO

University of Parakou/ Benin. E-mail: Raziah.arib@gmail.com

Accepted 25 August 2026

In contemporary capitalist societies, money holds a place at the very center of things, giving shape not only to economic exchanges but also to social identities, political institutions, and moral values. This study looks closely at how money and the politics of greed are portrayed in Martin Amis's *Money: A Suicide Note (1984)*. The argument advanced here is that the novel treats greed not as a mere personal failing but rather as something produced structurally by late capitalism and its consumer culture. Through John Self as a character, Amis builds a biting satire of a world in which wealth, consumption, and financial achievement have come to serve as the chief yardsticks of who someone is and what they are worth socially. Grounded in Marxist literary criticism and drawing additionally on the theoretical work of Pierre Bourdieu and Jean Baudrillard, the study relies on qualitative textual analysis. It traces the several ways money operates: as economic power, as a marker of social distinction, and as a lever of political influence; at the same time, it considers how consumerism, commodification, and advertising work together to entrench unequal relations of power and to erode both ethical values and genuine human connection. What emerges from this analysis is that Money mounts a searching critique of capitalist ideology, laying bare the mechanisms by which greed, material excess, and consumer desire come to seem normal, even inevitable. In the end, the novel lays open the destructive costs of a society run by economic self-interest, feeding into wider contemporary debates on literature, politics, consumer culture, and neoliberal capitalism.

Keywords: Martin Amis; Money: A Suicide Note; capitalism; greed; consumerism; political economy; satire.

Cite This Article As: IBOURAHIMA BORO, AR (2026). MONEY AND THE POLITICS OF GREED IN *MONEY: A SUICIDE NOTE* (1984) BY MARTIN AMIS. Inter. J. Eng. Lit. Cult. 15(1): 42-50

Introduction

For a long while now, money has sat at the heart of modern capitalist societies: it works not simply as a medium of exchange but also as a potent symbol of social standing, political sway, and personal identity. As global capitalism has expanded, economic success has increasingly become the yardstick against which individuals are measured, fostering a culture where the drive to acquire things often crowds out any sense of ethical duty. Greed, as a result, has burrowed deep into political and economic structures, leaving its mark on institutional practice, on social relations, and on what individuals come to want for themselves. Literature has never stopped questioning these developments, bringing into view the moral, psychological, and political fallout of an excessive attachment to wealth. Among contemporary British novelists, it is Martin Amis who stands apart, thanks to his sharp, often satirical scrutiny of consumer culture and the corrosive effects it has had on modern life.

Published in 1984, Martin Amis's *Money: A Suicide Note* ranks among his most influential novels; critics widely regard it as a landmark critique of late capitalist culture. The setting shifts between London and New York, at the height of Thatcherism and Reaganomics. John Self, a successful advertising director, drives the narrative: consumption, financial ambition, addiction and excess govern his existence entirely. Through Self's experiences Amis portrays a society in which money determines social status, shapes interpersonal bonds, and wields considerable political and economic power. The ideological foundations of consumer capitalism are what the novel lays bare. The relentless pursuit of wealth commodifies human experience, wears down moral values, and (this is the darker undertow) breeds a profound personal and social alienation.

This article examines the politics of greed in *Money*, unpacking how wealth, consumerism, political power and capitalist ideology feed into one another. Greed, for Amis, is no mere individual moral failing. It appears instead as a structural consequence of an economic system that puts profit ahead of human welfare. Read this way, the novel resonates strongly with Karl Marx's critique of capitalism, in particular his analyses of commodity fetishism, alienation, and class exploitation. Max Weber's reflections on capitalist rationality and the Protestant ethic matter here too; Pierre Bourdieu's concepts of economic, cultural, and symbolic capital, meanwhile, offer real insight into how wealth, social power, and identity intertwine. Taken together, these theoretical perspectives build a framework wide enough to grasp the ideological mechanisms by which capitalism normalises greed and material excess.

At its core, this study argues that *Money: A Suicide Note* frames greed as a political, cultural and ideological phenomenon rooted in the logic of neoliberal capitalism, not something reducible to personal moral weakness. Satire; irony; metafiction; narrative experimentation. These are the tools Amis reaches for to expose the institutions and discourses legitimising excessive consumption, economic inequality, and moral corruption. The novel lays bare an intimate relationship between money, power and identity, and in doing so pushes readers toward questioning the ethical foundations of contemporary capitalist society, and the human cost exacted by unchecked materialism.

To contemporary literary scholarship, this study adds a case worth making: Money deserves reading not only as a brilliant satire of consumer culture but also as a sophisticated political critique of neoliberal capitalism and the ideology of greed. More than four decades after publication, the novel has lost none of its bite. It still offers, in practice, valuable insight into the ongoing challenges posed by economic inequality, corporate power, financial speculation, and the commodification of everyday life.

Research Objectives

The main objectives of this work are:

- ✓ To examine the representation of money as an ideological and cultural force in Martin Amis's *Money: A Suicide Note.*
- ✓ To analyse how greed functions as a structural consequence of neoliberal capitalism rather than merely an individual moral weakness.
- ✓ To investigate the relationship between consumer culture, commodification, symbolic power, and political ideology within the novel.
- ✓ To demonstrate the continuing relevance of *Money: A Suicide Note* to contemporary debates on consumerism, economic inequality, and political economy.

To reach the above objectives some research questions

Theoretical Framework

The principal grounding of this study is Marxist literary criticism, which interrogates the relationship between literature, ideology, capitalism, and class relations. This body of criticism offers a workable analytical lens. Through it, the social, political, and economic contradictions of capitalist societies, as exposed by literary texts, can be understood. Karl Marx's concepts of commodity fetishism, alienation, reification, and capital accumulation are particularly relevant to the analysis of Martin Amis's *Money: A Suicide Note*. These concepts explain how capitalist systems convert human relationships into market relations, turning individuals into commodities while subordinating ethical values to the pursuit of profit. Within the novel, the obsessive pursuit of wealth, pleasure, and consumption that drives John Self exemplifies the alienating effects of capitalist ideology (personal fulfilment gives way to material acquisition); economic success stands in for genuine satisfaction.

Pierre Bourdieu's theory of capital also informs the study, particularly his concepts of economic, cultural, and symbolic capital. Wealth, according to Bourdieu, is not reducible to financial resources alone. It extends to prestige, education, cultural competence, and social recognition, all of which determine where an individual stands within society; these concepts illuminate the ways money functions in Money as an instrument of power, social distinction, and political influence. John Self's relentless pursuit of economic capital reflects, in turn, a desire for social legitimacy and personal validation within a society structured by unequal distributions of power and privilege.

Jean Baudrillard's theory of consumer society enters the research as well. It argues that contemporary capitalism is marked less by the satisfaction of genuine human needs than by the consumption of signs and images; commodities, Baudrillard suggests, acquire a symbolic value that often exceeds their practical function, pushing individuals toward constructing identity through what they consume. This perspective bears particular weight for Amis's portrayal of advertising, media culture, and material excess (settings in which consumption becomes at once a cultural performance and a means of social differentiation). In Money, practical needs are no longer what commodities fulfil; they function instead as symbols of success, status, and personal identity. A place in the study belongs, too, to Max Weber's reflections on capitalist rationality and the ethics of economic behaviour. Weber's account of modern rationalisation clarifies how efficiency, calculation, and financial success come to dominate as social values, frequently pushing moral and spiritual concerns to the margins; his work, in this sense, complements the Marxist perspective, laying bare the cultural and ideological foundations that sustain capitalist institutions and lend legitimacy to the pursuit of wealth.

Marx's critique of capitalism, Bourdieu's sociology of capital, Baudrillard's theory of consumer culture, and Weber's analysis of capitalist rationality are drawn together here to build an interdisciplinary framework, one able to treat greed simultaneously as a psychological disposition within the individual and as something structurally produced by neoliberal capitalism. Taken together, these perspectives shed light on the tangled relationship binding money, ideology, identity, and political power, a relationship sitting at the very core of Martin Amis's novel.

Methodology

The methodology here is qualitative, grounded in close textual analysis and literary interpretation. Quantitative data play no role; instead, an interpretive approach is used to trace how money, greed, consumerism, political power, and capitalist ideology are represented in Martin Amis's Money: A Suicide Note. Through close reading, the novel's themes, narrative techniques, symbolism, characterisation, irony, and satire can be explored in detail, exposing the manner in which Amis takes aim at the moral and political fallout of contemporary capitalism.

Martin Amis's *Money: A Suicide Note* (1984) serves as the primary source. Secondary material draws on scholarly books, peer-reviewed articles, and critical essays touching on Martin Amis, Marxist literary criticism, consumer culture, political economy, and current theories of capitalism. Such material supplies the historical, theoretical, and critical grounding needed to support any reading of the novel.

Thematic analysis structures the analytical procedure. Passages chosen from the novel are read against recurring themes: wealth, consumerism, advertising, economic power, political influence, commodification, alienation, moral corruption. These themes are then filtered through the theoretical lenses of Karl Marx, Pierre Bourdieu, Jean Baudrillard, and Max Weber, a move meant to reveal how the novel lays bare the ideological scaffolding of late capitalism and the politics underlying greed.

The novel is also placed within a wider historical frame, above all the neoliberal reforms tied to Margaret Thatcher in the United Kingdom and Ronald Reagan in the United States throughout the 1980s. Approaching the text this way allows the fictional world of Money to be connected to the political, economic, and cultural shifts that gave rise to advanced consumer capitalism. What results, ultimately, is a methodology fusing literary criticism with sociological, political, and cultural analysis, one aimed at a full understanding of the novel. Close textual reading, joined with interdisciplinary theory, shows how *Money: A Suicide Note* works not simply as satire of consumer culture but as a searching critique of capitalist ideology, economic inequality, and the moral toll exacted by excessive materialism.

Literature Review

Critics reviewing Martin Amis's *Money: A Suicide Note* have, from the start, singled the novel out as among the sharpest satirical treatments of consumer capitalism to appear in contemporary British fiction. Scholars, in the years following its 1984 publication, approached the text through a range of critical lenses: postmodernism, consumer culture, metafiction, masculinity, late capitalist ideology. These readings have enriched considerably how Amis's fiction is understood, and yet the politics of greed, taken as an organising ideological principle running through the novel, has drawn comparatively little sustained attention.

Much of the earlier criticism gravitated toward Amis's narrative technique and his postmodern aesthetic sensibility. Jameson (1991) makes the case that postmodern literature enacts the cultural logic of late capitalism itself, a condition in which consumer culture, commodification, and media saturation remake both social reality and its literary representation. This framework proves useful for reading *Money*, a novel whose fragmented structure, metafictional moves, and satirical register lay bare the ideological contradictions running through advanced capitalism. Eagleton (2011), in a related vein, holds that literature carries the capacity to expose moral contradictions buried within capitalist societies, a claim borne out plainly in the novel's portrait of John Self's moral and psychological unravelling.

Marxist critics, taking the argument further, have read Money as an indictment of commodification and of the alienation capitalism produces. Marx's (1867/1976) account of commodity fetishism explains how capitalist economies mask real social relationships by converting human labour and human experience alike into commodities. Within Amis's novel almost nothing escapes this process: friendship, sexuality, entertainment, professional achievement are each mediated.

Previous scholarship has, admittedly, examined *Money: A Suicide Note* at length through the lenses of postmodernism, consumer culture, metafiction, and capitalist ideology (Jameson, 1991; Mulalic, 2015; Baudrillard, 1998); what remains comparatively unexamined is the politics of greed as the ideological mechanism at the novel's core. Studies to date tend to treat consumerism and commodification as separate concerns, rarely showing how greed itself operates as the structural principle by which neoliberal capitalism reshapes identity, morality, and social relations. This article takes up that gap, arguing that greed here is no mere personal failing but an ideological product of late capitalism, one that saturates economic institutions, cultural practice, and everyday experience alike.

Study Results

The Commodification of Human Existence in the London–New York Axis during the Thatcher–Reagan Era

Martin Amis's novel takes root in the sweeping socio-economic upheavals that marked Britain and the United States throughout the 1980s. Neoliberal capitalism, as it emerged under Margaret Thatcher and Ronald Reagan, surfaces throughout the text. Deregulation, privatisation, free-market liberalisation: these forces reconfigured economic and social life alike, and the novel bears their imprint. This political backdrop does more than furnish historical colour. It supplies, in fact, the ideological groundwork on which the narrative itself is built, and Amis, moving back and forth across the Atlantic between London and New York, fashions a fictional terrain where finance, consumer culture, and commercial ambition spill past national borders, tracing the global reach of capitalist values.

Within such an environment, almost nothing in human existence escapes commodification. Relationships, cultural output, leisure, even the self, all come to be weighed against their market value. Jameson (2007) makes the case that late capitalism turns culture into a system of commodified images, one in which exchange value crowds out intrinsic human meaning; the novel dramatises much the same process. Commercial logic seeps into daily life until authentic experience shrinks down to a ledger entry. John Self builds his identity not from ethics or human connection but from consumption, advertising, and financial triumph. Amis traces, through this figure, how human beings turn into commodities under the ideological logic of neoliberal capitalism (Baudrillard, 1998; Harvey, 2005; Jameson, 1991).

Self stands as a walking contradiction of his historical moment. Working as an advertising executive steeped in the entertainment and consumption industries, he embodies the ideological triumph of neoliberal capitalism even as his own life exposes its wreckage. Wealth, pleasure, instant gratification: he chases all three with the fervour consumer society demands, and yet none of his material gains bring him emotional steadiness or moral footing. Amis, then, does not simply paint Self as a man ruined by greed. He presents him, rather, as the output of an economic system that measures a person's worth in financial terms alone (in balance-sheet terms, one might say).

The axis running between London and New York works on two levels at once, geographical and symbolic; both point back to advanced capitalism. It captures the tightening integration of global markets and the rise of a transnational culture where money becomes the chief arbiter of identity, power, and social bonds. Through this setting, Amis lays bare the ideological scaffolding of consumer capitalism, along with its power to commodify nearly every corner of human life.

Socio-Poetic Perspectives on Reification in the Postmodern Novel

At the heart of Money: A Suicide Note lies the process of reification, that gradual shift by which individuals come to see themselves and others as economic objects rather than autonomous human beings. This phenomenon surfaces not only in what the novel says but in how it says it. Its narrative form, its language, its satirical register, all carry the theme, at least to the extent that a satirical register can carry anything. Amis draws on postmodern narrative technique to show

how capitalist ideology remakes consciousness itself, turning social experience into little more than a string of market transactions.

Reification, seen through a Marxist lens, emerges when commodity production comes to dominate; authentic human bonds get buried beneath layers of exchange. Jameson pushes this further in his reading of postmodernism. Late capitalism, he argues, trades historical depth for surface, image, and spectacle. Frameworks like these shed light on something specific: how Amis depicts a world where advertising, media culture, and financial speculation seep into every corner of public and private existence alike.

Consumer culture reinforces this process (in ways that are hard to miss), pushing individuals toward self-definition through what they buy rather than through ethical conviction or meaningful ties to others. Modern marketing has stopped merely answering to needs already present. It manufactures fresh desires instead, desires that keep the economic engine turning. Consumption, as a result, turns into a cultural act, one through which identity gets built, put on display, and judged. Within the novel, John Self's existence becomes the clearest illustration of this reified condition; financial calculation and consumer craving govern nearly every choice he makes, every relationship he forms, every ambition he nurtures. That he cannot tell authentic experience apart from commercial spectacle, this failure itself, speaks to how thoroughly late capitalism's ideology can reach into and reshape a single consciousness. Amis, through this socio-poetic rendering, shows something crucial: reification does not stop at economic structures. It reaches into language itself, into imagination, into the very fabric of personal identity.

The novel thus casts reification as simultaneously an economic fact and a cultural mood, showing how neoliberal capitalism reshapes not just markets but the very lenses through which individuals view themselves and their surroundings.

The Semiotics of Money: A Textual Analysis

Within the novel under discussion here, money operates as something considerably more than an economic tool: it turns into a forceful semiotic system, one through which social bonds, personal identity, and cultural values get built and read. Amis positions money as a governing signifier, one that molds how characters see themselves and everyone around them, converting economic value into the chief yardstick of human worth. Financial success, as a result, cannot be pried apart from social prestige, from personal identity, or from political sway.

Seen through a semiotic lens, the novel shows that money carries both a material weight and a symbolic charge. It enables economic exchange, certainly, but it also broadcasts power, status, and social legitimacy. Such a symbolic function echoes Baudrillard's claim that, inside consumer society, commodities circulate chiefly as signs rather than as objects meant to meet practical needs. Advertising, branding, and media portrayal, then, build systems of meaning where consumption comes to stand for success, desirability, and personal achievement.

John Self embodies this symbolic economy rather fully. His fixation on wealth reaches past mere financial accumulation, stretching toward the social recognition and personal validation that money seems to promise. His relationships, across the novel, are filtered through economic calculation; friendship, love, professional dealings, all get reduced to varieties of commercial exchange. People come to be judged more by their financial value than by their moral character, a pattern that lays bare the deep commodification of interpersonal ties under late capitalism. John Self ties money openly to freedom and personal fulfilment, exposing just how thoroughly capitalist ideology has shaped what passes for his consciousness. As he puts it, "Money is freedom" (Amis, 1984). That line sums up his conviction that wealth secures autonomy and social power, even as the novel, bit by bit, exposes the illusion resting beneath such a belief (Harvey, 2005; Jameson, 1991).

Amis backs these themes through a narrative style all his own. Fragmented structure, an ironic tone, constant nods to advertising, popular culture, and commercial language: all of it mirrors the instability of a society ruled by market ideology. Economic vocabulary seeps into everyday speech, showing how capitalist logic slowly annexes language itself. Financial terminology, consequently, becomes the dominant frame through which reality gets read, and the space left over for authentic communication and ethical reflection grows correspondingly narrow.

What Amis further demonstrates in the novel is that attachment to money, once it grows excessive, carries psychological weight far beyond the merely financial. Pursuing material success on a constant basis breeds anxiety, alienation, and a hollowness of feeling; none of this resembles authentic fulfilment. John Self commands no small fortune. Even so, his life is marked by insecurity, addiction, and a dissatisfaction that runs deep. What his experience makes visible is the paradox lodged at the very core of consumer capitalism (a promise of limitless satisfaction that, in the end, delivers spiritual poverty and personal collapse instead).

Through this elaborate semiotic treatment of money, Amis shows that capitalist ideology reaches well past economic institutions; it seeps into language, culture, and consciousness itself. *Money: A Suicide Note* lays bare, in this way, the ideological machinery by which financial worth comes to stand in for human worth, mounting a forceful critique of the symbolic authority money wields within contemporary consumer society.

The Grotesque Consumer Body: Fast Food, Pornography, Alcohol, and Financial Addiction

One of Martin Amis's most striking achievements in *Money: A Suicide Note* lies in how the human body becomes a surface onto which the destructive forces of consumer capitalism get inscribed. Self's body works, in practice, as a metaphor for the excesses of late capitalist society, one that reflects, in physical and psychological terms alike, what unlimited consumption costs a person. Amis shows, through grotesque imagery, how consumer culture turns the individual into consumer and commodity at once; the body is subjected to relentless cycles of desire, gratification, and decay.

Self's compulsive consumption reaches beyond material goods into food, alcohol, pornography, and entertainment. His existence, in fact, revolves entirely around consuming: eating, drinking, watching, buying. That repeated indulgence in food, drink, and commercial entertainment mirrors the compulsive logic driving consumer capitalism forward. As he admits himself, "I eat too much, drink too much..." (Amis, 1984); the line shows how consumption becomes, at once, a physical addiction and an ideological condition (Baudrillard, 1998; Bauman, 2000).

None of these addictions stand as isolated personal failings. They manifest, rather, a wider economic system, one that keeps stimulating artificial desires while blocking any genuine satisfaction; consumer capitalism runs on perpetual dissatisfaction, pushing individuals toward fulfilment through repeated acts of consumption that, inevitably, fall short.

Amis deliberately exaggerates Self's bodily excesses in order to expose the violence built into consumer ideology. Obesity, alcoholism, exhaustion, physical discomfort (all of it symbolises what a society governed by commercial values corrodes). Unlimited consumption, far from enhancing personal freedom, leads instead toward physical decline, emotional emptiness, and a deepening dependence on the very commodities that once promised fulfilment. The grotesque body thus turns into a potent political metaphor, revealing how capitalist culture colonises not just economic life but the human body itself, turning biological existence into yet another domain ripe for commercial exploitation. What Amis illustrates through John Self's physical deterioration, at this stage, is the intimate bond linking consumerism, addiction, and moral disintegration.

The Disintegration of Language and Consciousness under the Tyranny of Money

One of Amis's more original moves in *Money: A Suicide Note* is his treatment of language itself as the medium through which capitalist ideology takes hold of consciousness. Economic vocabulary seeps steadily into John Self's perception of the world; by the novel's end, human experience has been flattened into so many calculations of profit, loss, investment, exchange. This drift in the novel's language captures something of how capitalism penetrates daily existence ideologically. Market discourse comes to govern thought and conduct alike (Jameson, 1991; Fisher, 2009).

John Self, as Amis constructs him, is a protagonist whose entire outlook on the world passes through commercial values, almost without remainder. What he says betrays the logic of advertising, of consumerism, of financial speculation; there is scarcely a trace of ethical reflection or emotional sincerity in it. Such a portrait bears out Fredric Jameson's (1991) claim that postmodern culture cannot be separated from the logic of late capitalism, a condition in which commercial discourse crowds out historical consciousness and moral depth. The novel's fractured structure, together with its ironic register, echoes the instability and surface glitter of consumer society itself.

Seen sociologically, Pierre Bourdieu's (1986) notion of symbolic power sheds further light on the novel's linguistic economy. Economic capital, on this account, is never merely a matter of financial resources. It stretches into symbolic forms of authority that decide who gets social recognition and cultural legitimacy, and John's own speech betrays, again and again, how deeply he has internalised these hierarchies. Language turns, in his mouth, into an instrument by which capitalist values get reproduced and made to seem natural.

Jean Baudrillard's (1998) account of consumer society brings a further dimension to this reading. For Baudrillard, contemporary societies consume signs more than they consume objects; out of this a symbolic universe emerges where advertising and media images carry more weight than material reality itself. Amis, through his constant recourse to advertising slogans, commercial brands, financial jargon, dramatises exactly this substitution, signs standing in for lived experience. Language becomes, in practice, just another commodity circulating within the capitalist marketplace.

There is a psychological cost to this ideological grip too. It shows up in John Self's failure to put together anything resembling a meaningful emotional bond with another person: friendship, love, artistic creation, all of it gets processed through financial categories. The pattern recalls Marx's theory of alienation, whereby capitalist social relations cut individuals off from their own humanity (Marx, 1867/1976). Terry Eagleton (2011) makes a related point, arguing that capitalist societies favour instrumental reasoning at the cost of ethical and communal values; Amis's satire renders that tension with real vividness in his depiction of Self.

What emerges, at this stage, is a novel demonstrating that capitalism reshapes not just economic institutions but the very language through which reality gets perceived and made sense of. In exposing how consciousness itself is colonised by commercial discourse, Amis lays bare the extraordinary ideological reach of neoliberal consumer culture. Escaping capitalist domination, the implication runs, would take more than economic reform alone. It would require recovering forms of language still capable of expressing genuinely human values, ones that lie beyond the logic of the marketplace (Harvey, 2005; Fisher, 2009).

Addiction as the Logic of Consumer Capitalism

Addiction is not merely one theme among others in *Money: A Suicide Note*; it functions as a structuring device for the whole novel. Amis refuses to treat it as a private psychological ailment. He frames it instead as something an economic system built on endless consumption produces almost by necessity. Consumer capitalism, after all, sustains itself through desires engineered never to reach full satisfaction; this mechanism, in practice, is precisely what keeps commodities and capital in constant motion. John Self's dependence on drink, pornography, food, entertainment, and cash reveals, taken together, how thoroughly modern consumer addictions interlock. Every addictive act brings momentary relief from dissatisfaction. Yet in the same gesture it deepens the appetite for further consumption, and this repetitive loop mirrors capitalism's own economic logic, dependent as it is on manufacturing ever-new cravings without end.

Consumer culture pushes people toward building identity out of acquisition rather than out of relationships that might carry real meaning. Commodities thereby gain a symbolic weight that saturates ordinary life (Bauman, 2000; Baudrillard, 1998; Slater, 1997).

For Amis, satire becomes the tool through which the paradox of consumer freedom gets exposed. Individuals seem, on the surface, free to pick among an endless array of goods; underneath, though, their choices are hemmed in by commercial structures that manipulate desire via advertising, media, and market ideology. What results is a freedom largely illusory (one that conceals subtler, deeper mechanisms of ideological control).

John Self's eventual unmasking as the victim of financial deception sharpens this critique still further; his personal unravelling makes plain that capitalist systems prey not only on labour but also on trust, desire, and identity itself. Addiction, the novel suggests, works on two levels at once. A private tragedy, yes, but also a structural feature built into neoliberal consumer society.

The Thatcher-Reagan Era and the Political Economy of Greed

Money: A Suicide Note cannot really be separated from the political and economic upheavals that marked Britain and the United States across the 1980s. Thatcherism and Reaganomics sit behind the novel as backdrop, two neoliberal projects closely aligned in their promotion of deregulation, privatisation, tax cuts, financial liberalisation, and expanded market competition (Harvey, 2005; Rousseas, 2023). These developments do more than furnish historical scenery. They form the ideological scaffolding within which John Self's identity, ambitions, and moral compass all take shape. David Harvey, speaking to this very issue, observes:

> Neoliberalism should be understood not simply as an economic doctrine but as a political strategy that restructures social institutions in favour of market principles (Harvey, 2005).

Amis's novel captures this shift with real precision. Commercial success comes to serve, more and more, as the chief yardstick of personal worth; wealth, meanwhile, stands in as proof of intelligence, power, and social legitimacy. Greed, seen this way, is no longer simply a personal moral failing. It becomes a behaviour that neoliberal ideology actively rewards and encourages at the social level. The novel also bears out Fredric Jameson's argument that:

> Late capitalism extends commodification beyond economic production into culture, language, and everyday life. Advertising, entertainment, and finance dominate the narrative world of Money, demonstrating how market values permeate human relationships and reshape cultural experience. (Jameson's,1991)

John Self's job in advertising stands as an emblem of this merging between economics and culture. His entire working existence is built around fabricating desire; meeting any authentic human need has nothing to do with it. Something similar emerges when Self's obsessive chase after wealth gets read through Pierre Bourdieu's (1986) notion of symbolic capital. Money, in this light, works as more than economic gain: it becomes a vehicle for prestige, authority, social standing. Much of the novel finds Self trying to turn financial resources into personal legitimacy. Each failed attempt, though, lays bare how fragile an identity becomes once it rests on nothing but material accumulation.

Amis also traces, with some precision, the psychological toll exacted by neoliberal ideology. John Self may appear financially successful. Underneath that success, though, lies a man riddled with insecurity, alienation, an emotional hollowness that never quite lifts, and this contradiction echoes Bauman's (2000) reading of liquid modernity, where consumer societies dangle the promise of fulfilment while, at the same time, breeding lasting dissatisfaction and identities that never settle. Fisher (2009) makes a related argument: capitalist realism trains people to treat market values as though they were simply natural, inevitable (a kind of common sense), thereby narrowing what anyone can imagine as an alternative to the current order. Self is practically a case study of this condition; rarely does he stop to question the very system that, in the end, ruins him.

The novel, read through a Marxist lens, lays bare the contradictions built into capitalist modernity. Marx (1867/1976) contends that capitalism, by its nature, puts profit ahead of human welfare, producing in the process alienation, inequality, the commodification of everyday life. Amis takes these abstract economic mechanisms and gives them flesh through the slow moral, psychological and financial unravelling of John Self; his collapse stands for something larger, a society-wide crisis in which economic success has pushed ethical responsibility aside as the main yardstick of human worth.

In the end, *Money: A Suicide Note* reaches beyond satire and becomes something closer to a sustained political critique of neoliberal capitalism. Amis lays bare the tight bond between money, power, consumerism and ideological control; in doing so, he makes the case that greed is not merely a personal failing but a structural feature woven into advanced capitalist society. This, precisely, is what keeps the novel striking a chord in the twenty-first century, an era in which deepening economic inequality, corporate power and consumer culture (each reinforcing the others, in practice) go on shaping political discourse and daily life alike (Harvey, 2005; Beckerman, 2023).

Conclusion

This study contributes to contemporary scholarship along three main lines. First, it puts forward an interdisciplinary reading of *Money: A Suicide Note*, one that brings Marxist literary criticism into dialogue with theories of consumer culture, symbolic capital, and neoliberal political economy. A second contribution: showing that greed operates as the novel's governing ideological structure, not as one motif among several recurring ones. Lastly, the study points to how Amis's satire still speaks to present-day debates on financial capitalism, consumerism, and the widening of social inequality well into the twenty-first century. Close reading of the novel bears out the claim that Martin Amis's *Money: A Suicide Note* carries out a searching literary examination of how money, greed, and capitalist ideology interlock within late twentieth-century Britain and the United States; through its satirical rendering of John Self, it lays bare the moral, psychological, and political fallout of a society where financial success and consumption have come to define personal identity and social worth. Greed, in Amis's hands, is not reduced to an individual moral failing. It emerges instead as a structural condition, one that neoliberal capitalism both produces and keeps in place.

Drawing on Marxist literary criticism alongside the theoretical frameworks of Pierre Bourdieu, Jean Baudrillard, and Max Weber, the analysis has traced how the novel questions the ideological mechanisms by which capitalism turns human relationships into commodities, rework's personal identity, and lends legitimacy to economic inequality. Money here works as more than an economic tool. It stands, rather, as a cultural symbol of considerable weight, one that fixes social status, political sway, and a person's very sense of self-worth; consumer culture, in this light, turns human beings into commodities themselves, flattening interpersonal bonds into commercial exchanges and wearing down ethical responsibility along the way.

The analysis has also shown that John Self's compulsions (toward food, alcohol, pornography, entertainment, wealth) stand as symbols of the wider contradictions built into advanced consumer capitalism. His bodily decline and emotional volatility, together with the financial ruin that eventually catches up with him, point to the destructive logic of an economic order built on ceaseless consumption and desire without end. Through satire, irony, grotesque imagery, and metafictional technique, Amis manages to expose the ideological groundwork of neoliberal culture; at the same time, he casts doubt on the very values propping it up.

The novel's setting within the Thatcher-Reagan era sharpens the critique of the political economy of greed still further. Deregulation, privatisation, and market liberalisation function here not simply as backdrop or historical detail. They act, in practice, as ideological forces that shape both individual consciousness and collective social conduct. Moving between London and New York, Amis traces the rise of a transnational capitalist order, one in which commercial values press ever more firmly into every corner of human life.

What makes *Money: A Suicide Note* endure, even now, is precisely how well it maps onto twenty-first-century anxieties. Widening economic inequality, financial speculation, corporate influence, digital consumerism, the commodification of everyday life: the novel gestures toward all of these decades before they became the shorthand of contemporary cultural criticism. Its staying power comes from something more specific than topicality, though. Amis shows how economic systems reach beyond markets alone, seeping into language itself, into identity, into morality, into the texture of human relationships. Read this way, the book asks to be recognised on two fronts at once: as among the sharpest satires modern consumer culture has produced, certainly, but also as a political novel of real weight, one whose insights into the cultural and ethical wreckage left by global capitalism have lost none of their force.

To close, this study feeds into current scholarly conversation around Martin Amis. Its central claim: *Money: A Suicide Note* reads most convincingly as an elaborate indictment of neoliberal thought, one in which greed functions not merely as individual failing but as a structuring logic of capitalist modernity itself. Later research might push further. Amis's portrayal of consumer culture could be set against that of other British novelists of his era, say; or, in practice, one might probe whether his critique still holds up against digital capitalism, globalized finance, and the consumer societies of the twenty-first century.

References

1. Amis, M. (1984). Money: A Suicide Note. Jonathan Cape.
2. Arnould, E., Crockett, D., & Eckhardt, G. (2021). Informing marketing theory through consumer culture theories. AMS Review. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13162-021-00198-9
3. Baudrillard, J. (1998). The Consumer Society: Myths and Structures. Sage.
4. Baudrillard, J. (1998). The Consumer Society: Myths and Structures.
5. Bauman, Z. (2000). Liquid Modernity. Polity Press.
6. Beckerman, M. (2023). Reaganomics: Pragmatic or Ideological, Revolution or Reform, Success or Failure?
7. Bourdieu, P. (1986). The Forms of Capital.
8. Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. Richardson (Ed.), Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education (pp. 241–258). Greenwood Press.
9. Eagleton, T. (2011). Why Marx Was Right.
10. Fisher, M. (2009). Capitalist Realism: Is There No Alternative?
11. Harvey, D. (2005). A Brief History of Neoliberalism. Oxford University Press.
12. Jameson, F. (2007). Postmodernism, or, The Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism. Duke University Press.
13. Lukács, G. (1971). History and Class Consciousness.
14. Marx, K. (1867/1976). Capital: A Critique of Political Economy (Vol. 1). Penguin Books.
15. Marx, K. (1976). Capital (Vol. 1). (Original work published 1867)
16. Rousseas, S. (2023). Political Economy of Reaganomics. Routledge.
17. Weber, M. (2002). The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism. Routledge. (Original work published 1905)